

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	•					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/682,422 08/31/2001		John Joseph DiEnno	9D-DW-19893	7785		
23465 7:	590 12/27/2002					
JOHN S. BEULICK C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE SUITE 2600 ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			ANDERSON, GERALD A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3637			
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/27/2003	DATE MAIL ED: 12/27/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

44		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Comments	09/682,422		DIENNO ET AL.				
j	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		JERRY A ANDER		3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	<u> </u>	— · s action is non-fin	nal.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa			osecution as to th	ne merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	sition of Claims ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○							
7	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		tion					
5	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom considera	tion.					
	6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requireme	ent					
	ication Papers	7						
9	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) \square Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				
S. Patent and Trademark Office								



Application/Control Number: 09/682,422

Art Unit: 3637

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-11, drawn to an escutcheon, classified in class 312, subclass 257.1.
- II. Claims 12-20, drawn to a door, classified in class 49, subclass 501.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a control panel mounting surface. The subcombination has separate utility such as mounting a control panel on a clothes washing machine.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the curved door and the flat door.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 7, 12 and 18 are generic.



'Application/Control Number: 09/682,422

Art Unit: 3637

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. T. Fisher on December 6, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).



'Application/Control Number: 09/682,422

Art Unit: 3637

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JERRY A ANDERSON whose telephone number is 703 308 2202. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703 308 2486. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 3597 for regular communications and 703 305 3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 2168.

JERRY A ANDERSON

Examiner Art Unit 3637

jaa

December 26, 2002